

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to: MS Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown below.

Dated:

23 Feb 2006

Signature:

(G. Christopher Braidwood)

Docket No.: 30020/37149  
(PATENT)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent Application of:  
Paul Peterson

Application No.: 09/885,746

Confirmation No.: 5589

Filed: June 20, 2001

Art Unit: 2625

For: METHODS AND APPARATUS FOR  
GENERATING A MULTIPLE COMPOSITE  
IMAGE

Examiner: K. B. Patel

**COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

MS Issue Fee  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Notice of Allowance dated November 29, 2005, Applicant respectfully requests that the following comments be made a part of the record.

At the outset, the applicant wishes to express his appreciation for the allowance of the application. The applicant further wishes to supplement the record with a summary of an interview conducted prior to the mailing of the Notice of Allowance via the following comments.

The courteous interview granted by Examiner Patel to the applicant and the applicant's undersigned attorney on September 8, 2005, is hereby acknowledged with appreciation. During the interview, a demonstration of the technology underlying the present application was conducted for the benefit of Examiner Patel and several other examiners associated with the following co-pending and concurrently filed cases:

(i) Application Serial No. 09/885,758, entitled "Methods and Apparatus for Producing a Lenticular Novelty Item at a Point of Purchase"; and,

(ii) Application Serial No. 09/885,686, entitled "Methods and Apparatus for Producing a Lenticular Novelty Item via the Internet."


The interviews for all three cases were conducted jointly. All of the examiners were present during the demonstration. Examiner Patel also attended the portions of the interview dedicated to the art-based claim rejections outstanding in the other two cases. At that time, the most pertinent art cited in the other two cases was discussed, including two references relied upon in the present application (see, e.g., the Office Action dated August 5, 2004, citing U.S. Patents Nos. 6,329,987 and 5,117,283).

U.S. Patents Nos. 6,148,148 (Wain et al.), 6,258,194 (Danon), and 6,324,545 (Morag) were also discussed during the interview.

Following the joint interview, a Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) was submitted in the present application on December 15, 2005, to identify the other two co-pending cases, as well as art cited therein. At that time, the applicant was yet unaware of the mailing of the Notice of Allowance and accordingly hereby requests that this paper and the IDS be made a part of the record for the present application.

Dated: February 23, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By   
G. Christopher Braidwood

Registration No.: 41,631

MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP  
233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6300  
Sears Tower  
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6357  
(312) 474-6300  
Attorney for Applicant